

Eruptive stars spectroscopy Cataclysmics, Symbiotics, Novae, Supernovae



ARAS Eruptive Stars Information letter n° 18 #2015-06 06-09-2015 **Observations of July-August 2015**

Nova V339 DEL	Contents	
Pirst spectrum with eshel R=11000 Taken August the 14th a 19H45 TU Last spectrum with LEA R=550 Taken August the 15th a 1H23 TU Mag. 6.3 Mag. 13	Novae Nova Del 2013, Nova Cyg 2014, Nova Cen 2013, In nebular phase Nova Sgr 2015b : recoverzs after dust formation e Nova Oph 2015 : oscillations 3 mags under max lu	p. 2 - 9 pisod minosity
2013 2015 2015 2013 2013	Symbiotics	p. 10 - 47
1151 spectra in aras data base (asdb) asdb Nova Del 2013	CH Cygni campaign AG Peg : an historical outburst AG Dra, BF Cyg, EG And, CI Cyg, R Aqr RS Oph, StHa 190, T CrB, TXCVn, V443 Her, YY Her, V934 Her, V1329 Cyg V1413 Aql, Z And, V1016 Cyg, HM Sge	p. 11 - 19 p. 22- 26 p. 34 - 42
	Cataclysmics	
	ASASSN 15 ni identified as a cataclysmic star By P. Berardi	p. 48
	SS Cygni outburst	p. 49-50
	Nova-Like V Sge	p. 51
ARAS Spectroscopy	Notes from Steve Shore :	p. 52 - 56
ARAS Web page http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/	Eclipsing systems as probes of atmosp	heric structure
ARAS Forum http://www.spectro-aras.com/forum/	OHP 2015 by O. Thizy	p. 57
ARAS list https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/sp ectro-l/info	Ne	xt issue : October
ARAS preliminary data base http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras_Data Base/DataBase.htm ARAS BeAM http://arasbeam.free.fr/?lang=en	Authors : F. Teyssier, S. Shore, O. Thizy, P. Berardi, O.Garde, I T.Lester, K. Graham, P. Somogyi, S. Charbonnel,P. E T. Bohlsen, D. Boyd, C. Buil, P. Dubreuil, J. Edlin, P. U. Sollecchia, V. Bouttard, G. Martineau, Y. Buchet, L. Franco, N. Montigiani, M. Rodriguez, J. Guarro, N	D. Li, ,J. Montier, Berardi, F. Boubault Fosanelli, J. Guarro V. Bouttard, M. Mannucci,

Status of current novae 1/2







Nova Cyg 2014	V2659 Cyg	
Maximum	09-04-2014	
Days after maximum	509	
Current mag V	14.5	
Delta mag V	5	
0.5		



Nova Cen 2013	V1369 Cen	
Maximum	14-12-2013	
Days after maximum	625	
Current mag V	10.3	
Delta mag V	7	





wavelenght (A)

Status of current novae 2/2







Ν

The nova continues its slow decline (Mag V = 13.5 , but remains observable





A spectrum obtained by Stéphane Charbonnel at OHP, two years after the discovery (LISA R= 1000)

Nova Cyg 2014



- Luminosity Mag V = 14.5 (31-08-2015)
- Spectroscopy Nova Cyg in nebular phase



AAVSO Light Curve (V band)



Nova Oph 2015 (PNV J17291350-1846120)

Coordin	nates (2000.0)
R.A.	17 29 13.5
Dec.	- 18 46 12

0

V

A

Е

Peculiar light curve : after a fast post maximum decline, the luminosity oscillates between 11.5 and 12.5 (general trend of slow decline)

Slight evolution evolution of the spectrum in phase with the luminosity



The AAVSO light curve from 30th of march to 31th of august, 2015 Spectra of ARAS database : blue points



ARAS Data Base : 26 spectra : http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras DataBase/Novae/2015 NovaOph2015.htm





Nova Sgr 2015b = V5668 Sgr

R.A. 18 36 56.8	Coordi	nates (2000.0)	
	R.A.	18 36 56.8	
Dec28 55 39.8	Dec.	-28 55 39.8	

The nova recovers from its dust formation episode. The fast decline began near JD 2457177 (V = 6.2) and reached a minimum at about JD 24572016 (V = 12.7) with an amplitude of 6.5 mag in V band. The mean slope is 0.22 mag/day.

The raise of luminosity after july, 3 is covered by spectra of T. Bohlsen, J. Edlin and U. Sollecchia.

The I[O III] nebular lines become strong in the latest spectrum (17-08-2015)





Nova Sgr 2015b = V5668 Sgr



S y m b i o t i c

S

Selected list of bright symbiotics stars of interest

	Target				Refrence Star						
#	Name	AD (2000)	DE (2000)	Mag V *	Interest	Name	AD (2000)	DE (2000)	Mag V	E(B-V)	Sp Type
1	AX Per	1 36 22.7	54 15 2.5	11.6	++	HD 6961	01 11 06.2	+ 55 08 59.6	4.33	0	A7V
2	UV Aur	5 21 48.8	32 30 43.1	10		HD 39357	05 53 19.6	+ 27 36 44.1	4.557		AOV
3	ZZ CMi	7 24 13.9	8 53 51.7	10.2		HD 61887	07 41 35.2	+ 03 37 29.2	5.955		AOV
4	BX Mon	7 25 24	-3 36 0	10.4	+	HD 55185	07 11 51.9	- 00 29 34.0	4.15		A2V
5	<u>V694 Mon</u>	7 25 51.2	-7 44 8	10.5	++	HD 55185	07 11 51.9	- 00 29 34.0	4.15		A2V
6	NQ Gem	7 31 54.5	24 30 12.5	8.2		HD 64145	07 53 29.8	+ 26 45 56.8	4.977		A3V
7	<u>T CrB</u>	15 59 30.1	25 55 12.6	10.4	++	HD 143894	16 02 17.7	+ 22 48 16.0	4.817	0	A3V
8	AG Dra	16 1 40.5	66 48 9.5	9.7	++	HD 145454	16 06 19.7	+ 67 48 36.5	5.439	0	A0Vn
9	<u>RS Oph</u>	17 50 13.2	-6 42 28.4	10.4	++	HD 164577	18 01 45.2	+ 01 18 18.3	4.439	0	A2Vn
10	<u>YY Her</u>	18 14 34.3	20 59 20	12.9	++	HD 166014	18 07 32.6	+ 28 45 45.0	3.837	0.02	B9.5V
11	<u>V443 Her</u>	18 22 8.4	23 27 20	11.3	++	HD 171623	18 35 12.6	+ 18 12 12.3	5.79	0	A0Vn
12	BF Cyg	19 23 53.4	29 40 25.1	10.8	++	HD 180317	19 15 17.4	+ 21 13 55.6	5.654	0	A4V
13	CH Cyg	19 24 33	50 14 29.1	7	+	HD 184006	19 29 42.4	+ 51 43 47.2	3.769	0	A5V
14	<u>CI Cyg</u>	19 50 11.8	35 41 3.2	10.5	++	HD 187235	19 47 27.8	+ 38 24 27.4	5.826	0.02	B8Vn
15	<u>StHA 190</u>	21 41 44.8	2 43 54.4	10.3	+	HD 207203	21 47 14.0	+ 02 41 10.0	5.631	0	A1V
16	AG Peg	21 51 1.9	12 37 29.4	8.6	++	HD 208565	21 56 56.4	+ 12 04 35.4	5.544	0	A2Vnn
18	Z And	23 33 39.5	48 49 5.4	9.65	++	HD 222439	23 40 24.5	+ 44 20 02.2	4.137	0	AOV
19	<u>R Aqr</u>	23 43 49.4	-15 17 4.2	9.9	++	HD 222847	23 44 12.1	- 18 16 37.0	5.235	0	B9V

Mag V * : 01-04-2014

Observing

CH Cygni campaign Especially high resolution H alpha CH Cygni remains at a high level of activity.

AG Peg: historical outburst



S

y m

b

i

0

t

ľ

С

S

AAVSO V band light curve from march to august, 2015

CH Cyg remains in high state with a flickering of about 0.3-0.4 mag - In June, appears a slowly decreasing trend ARAS observations : blue dots

CH Cygni ARAS campaign : see page 22 and previous issues





ARAS Eruptive Stars Information Letter #18| 2015-09-06| 12 /60

S

y m

b

i

0

t

ľ

С

S



ARAS Eruptive Stars Information Letter #18| 2015-09-06| 13 /60

S

y



S

y m b i

0

t

i

С

S

25-08-2015 during ~ 1.5 hour Olivier Garde 25-08-2015 R = 11 000



CH Cygni campaign : Ha profiles at resolution from 6000 to 12000





S

CH Cygni campaign : Balmer lines

H beta region at medium resolution

P. Somogyi & T. Lester





Field of CH Cygni - Christian Buil - 15-03-2012

CH Cygni

Coordinates (2000.0)				
R.A.	19 24 33			
Dec.	+54 14 29.1			

Current magnitude V = 7.4 to 7.6 (Flickering)

Reference stars

MILES Standart for high resolution spectra

Name	RA (2000)	Dec (20002)	Sp. Туре	Mag. V	E _{B-V}
HD 192640	20:14:31.9	+36:48:22.7	A2V	4.96	0.026

Reference for low resolution spectra

Name	RA (2000)	Dec (20002)	Sp. Туре	Mag. V	E _{B-V}
HD 183534	19:27:42	+52:19:14	A1V	5.7	0

Observing

High resolution spectra Eshel LHIRES III 2400 I/mm (Halpha) Spectra should be corrected for heliocentric velocity

Low resolution spectra (minimum R = 600) With an excellent correction of atmospheric/intrumental response for computation of the SED

> Send spectra To francoismathieu.teyssier at bbox.fr

File name : _chcygni_aaaammdd_hhh.fit And _chcygni_aaaammdd_hhh.zip for eShel and Time series

ARAS Data Base for CH Cygni

http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras_DataBase/Symbiotics/CHCyg.htm

ARAS Eruptive Stars Information Letter #18| 2015-09-06| 19 /60

AG Dra : short flare late may

Coordinates (2000.0)





AG Peg in outburst : an historical event





S

i

t

i



ARAS Eruptive Stars Information Letter #18 | 2015-09-06 | 23/60



0 hr

Wavelenght

2015-06-30





S

y

m

b

i

0

t i

C S



BF Cygni

S

y m b i

> 0 t i

C S

Coordin	ates (2000.0)	9	9.5 BF Cvg		• •	- © •
R.A.	19 23 53.5					
Dec.	+29 40 29.2		2.			
Slowly The hu alpha	r declining ump in the red part of H remains strong		10 2457180	2457210	2457240	2457270

Changes in the blue absorption





S

y

m b i

> O t i



BF Cygni

S

y

m

b

i

0

t

i

С







Crop on H β , Fe II (42) 4924, 5018

EG /	And			
Coord	linates (2000.0)	EG And is brigh	nt,eclipsing	g, symbiotic star.
R.A.	00 44 3701			
Dec.	+40 40 45.7	Orbital period	485.6 d	Fekel & al. (2000)
Mag	7.0 - 7.4	Spectral type	M2.4III	Kenyon & Fernandez Castro (
·				

S

y m b i

> O t i

C S



Flux calibrated Spectrum obtained by D. Boyd with a LISA



ARAS Eruptive Stars Information Letter #18| 2015-09-06| 30 /60







Flux calibrated spectrum - David Boyd - LISA R = 1000

S

y m

> b i

> o t

> > i

С



Green range with a Lhires III - 600 l/mm - R = 3500 The main lines are [Fe VII] 5158 [Fe VI] 5176 [Fe VII] 5276 He II 5411 [Ca VII] 5619 [Fe VII] 5721





000 800 800 800

A Constant

2457200



Home built spectrograph R = 900



wavelenght (A)

ARAS DATA BASE | http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras_DataBase/Symbiotics.htm

StHa 190

R.A.

Dec.

Mag

Coordinates (2000.0)



S





ARAS DATA BASE | http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras_DataBase/Symbiotics.htm

S

S

Coordinates (2000.0)				
R.A.	15 59 30.16			
Dec.	+25 55 12.6			
Mag	10.2			

Lhires III 600 l/mm R = 3500



ARAS DATA BASE | http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras_DataBase/Symbiotics.htm

TX CVn

Coordin	Coordinates (2000.0)			
R.A.	12 44 42.0			
Dec.	+36 45 50.6			
Mag V	9.8			

S

y m





V443 Her

S

y

m

b i

o t

i

С

YY Her

Coordinates (2000.0)			
R.A.	18 14 34.2		
Dec.	+20 59 21.3		
Mag V	12.8		



V934 Her

R.A.

Dec.

Mag V

Coordinates (2000.0)







V1413 Aql

Coordinates (2000.0)			
R.A.	19 03 46.8		
Dec.	+16 26 17		
Mag V	10.5-15.5		



AAVSO Long term light curve (V + Vis.) Since 1989 The main tick is 10 years



Z And

Coordinates (2000.0)			
R.A.	23 33 40		
Dec.	48 49 06		
Mag V	10.1		



S

y m











С

a t

а

С

S

m i

C

S



The Astronomer's Telegram

Spectroscopic classification of ASASSN-15ni as a cataclysmic variable in outburst

ATel #7854; Paolo Berardi (ARAS) on 28 Jul 2015; 23:35 UT

We report a low-resolution optical spectrum of ASASSN-15ni (ATel #7850), obtained on 2015-07-28.929 using a 0.23-m Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope and a Lhires III spectrograph configured for low-resolution (450-715 nm, res. 1 nm). The strong blue continuum, a faint emission of H-alpha line, a narrow component in a broad absorption for H-beta line and CIII/NIII 4640 blend in emission suggest that the transient is a dwarf nova in outburst.

ASSASN program : Shappee et al. (2014) http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013arXiv1310.2241S ASSASN transcients : http://www.astronomy.ohio-state.edu/~assassin/transients.html С

а

t

а

С

i

S

m i

> C S





ARAS DATA BASE | http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras_DataBase/Cataclysmics.htm



The spectroscopic evolution during the outburst with spectra of U. Sollecchia, K. Graham and V. Bouttard



С

V Sge

Ν 0 V

Α E L

Κ Ε

Coordinates (2000.0)			
R.A.	23 33 40		
Dec.	48 49 06		
Mag V	10.1		



ARAS DATA BASE | http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras_DataBase/Cataclysmics.htm

... and now more on line formation and stellar atmospheres: sunrise and sunset: Eclipsing systems as probes of atmospheric structure

Steve Shore

Dawn or sunset provides the best comparison with the z Aur stars. You see a change in the color of the Sun although you know perfectly well there isn't any intrinsic difference between the star at noon and near the horizon. The most obvious effect is reddening, the B-V changes systematically with altitude. The sky color also changes, but we'll come back to that in a moment. The main effect is from scattering, as we've discussed in earlier installments. Rayleigh scattering from molecules in the atmosphere, especially N₂, has a larger cross section for shorter wavelengths. Since this is a non resonant process, from the far wings of the transitions (and therefore far from the resonance frequency), it varies as (frequency)⁴, which means that across

the visible band (from, say, 4000 to 8000 Å) this changes differentially by a factor of 16. When put in magnitude units, it means the color of the Sun changes by $E(B-V) \sim 0.5$, so the Sun goes from having the colors of a slightly later spectral type than its real G2V (because of the scattering even at minimal zenith distance) to looking like an late K star. This effect is removed automatically when you do photometry using standards in the same part of the sky as the target, and is nearly all removed spectrophotometrically with standards, but not quite. Small differences in angle of viewing when near the horizon become a problem because of the temperature gradient. The index of refraction is not constant, it varies with both temperature and wavelength density (it is also wavelength dependent) and the temperature is quite variable with altitude (hence, line of sight) such that a star can be seen below the horizon. This also depends strongly on wavelength so the images of the star actually separate. This is not easily seen for the Sun since it's so large relative to the angular dispersion of the image, but the effect is there.

This is a continuum process. Dust exacerbates the effect, usually being concentrated in the troposphere and, therefore, at the lowest angles relative to the horizon. You see this as a more extreme change in the color of the Sun. But unlike scattering, dust is also a continuum absorber and this also depends on wavelength. So the brightness of the star diminishes differentially, not simply with the altitude but perhaps even more rapidly near the horizon. Water vapor has a different effect, producing scattering at large angle (halos, rainbows - when backscattered - and glories), all of which include dispersion. The differences between ice and liquid water droplets is the cause of these different effects, ice doesn't rainbow scatter while water doesn't form distinct parahelia (like halos). The sky color changes because the scattered light from the Sun is now even more weighted to the red than before so the overall diffuse light changes its spectral distribution. This does not affect the line spectrum, although the atmosphere does produce a separate signature. The absorption bands you've tried so often to carefully avid or remove, those around 6700-7200A, the forest of water lines and innumerable O2 transitions throughout the red part of the spectrum all come from the molecular constituents

of the Earth's atmosphere. They don't increase linearly with angle, though. Not even according to the usual angle depen-

dence that the optical depth at zenith is $\tau_{\scriptscriptstyle 0\, \neq}\, 0$ while that at some

zenith angle is $\tau = \tau_{0/} \cos z$, the airmass being the ratio τ / τ_0 . Any absorption is from permitted transitions, the optical depth of the atmosphere in the visible is quite low (for absorption, not for scattering) so the absorption alters some of the spectrum but other portions are free of contamination, for instance below 5000 Å. Finally, the ionization continuum of ozone in the Earth's (or planet's) atmosphere kicks in and dominates the opacity in the near UV. There are also dissociative transitions, and at progressively higher energies many overlapping ionization and dissociation continua for the different constituents change the spectrum of the incoming sunlight. We, of course, don't see these because the opacity of ozone is so high that the atmosphere is completely opaque below about 3000 (hence the justification for spectrographs such as GHRS and STIS on HST).

Now turn this around and think of a B star passing behind a late type supergiant or giant, as we see in the z Aur systems. For the moment, ignore any circumstellar matter. Just imagine a pure atmospheric eclipse. A B main sequence star is about a percent the radius of the giant, and therefore is very close to a point source as far as we, Earthbound observers, are concerned. Any eclipse is, therefore, a pencil beam through the giant outer atmosphere (until the optical depth along the line of sight is large). It is *precisely* the same as seeing a sunset from the ISS with all of the curvature effects of the atmosphere and all of its various depth dependent opacity sources. In the Earth's atmosphere, water is concentrated in the lower part, the troposphere, along with most aerosols. The molecular species extend through the ionosphere but there are several temperature inversions. These are the effect of the illumination from the outside, which we should now consider. But it's enough to say that what we've just discussed is precisely the same as observing an exoplanetary transit. The only difference, that feature that makes it harder to understand the details in the absorption spectrum of transits, is that the star is a uniform background screen against which the planet is seen. Not the other way around. So all of the scattering effects complicate things enormously. Think, for instance, of the ring of scattered light surrounding the Earth as seen from the Moon during a lunar eclipse. Or worse, the ring like appearance of Venus in inferior conjunction! You're seeing the whole atmosphere at once, with internal scattering and absorption along all lines of sight against a screen that is not uniform (and may be changing over time). It isn't just a signal to noise problem, the atmosphere is seen in transmission but it is strongly nonthermal in character because of the molecular scattering.

Now consider a stellar atmosphere. What we know about its structure is either obtained from the one spatially resolved star

1/3

... and now more on line formation and stellar atmospheres: sunrise and sunset: Eclipsing systems as probes of atmospheric structure

Steve Shore

we can observe, the Sun, or from the reconstruction of density and pressure profiles in an inversion process from the angle integrated spectra. The observed spectrum is simpler than the planetary for a single reason: single stars pass flux only outward. The outer condition on the layers of the optically thin part of the star is that there is no incoming light. The temperature gradient may change its value (the tendency), and zones where the gas becomes particularly opaque across the entire spectrum may become buoyantly unstable and start to pass energy by gas motion - convection. But globally the temperature gradient is monotonic. The outer layers are progressively more optically thin and lose energy more easily, hence they cool. The photospheric spectrum then passes through a region that is always less emitting and, therefore, forms absorption lines against the surface. This does not mean the layer is much cooler, just that the excitation is lower and there is progressively lower continuum contribution (ignoring, for now, scattering).

The Sun shows, however, that this picture is much too simple for later type stars (F-M stars). These have outer convective layers, unlike stars above a few of solar masses where the hydrogen ionization zone is buried rather deep (above optical depths like 10 or 20). The surface opacity below about 8000K (at photospheric densities, about 10¹⁴cm⁻³ or higher) is from an amazing ion, H⁻. The ion, which is permitted because the 1s state of neural hydrogen can contain up to two electrons (the 1s state is the ground state and this can form a singlet ¹S₀ as for He I). The difference is that there is still only one proton in the nucleus and partial screening reduces the ionization energy to about 0.7 eV. This happens to be right about the peak of the photospheric radiation so the opacity of the outer layers is very large, but not through the whole visible region (it's weighted to the IR). So the lower atmosphere and photosphere are convective. The acoustic oscillations - sound waves - generated by the surface and envelope mass motion propagate throughout the atmosphere. Those moving upward radiate and weaken, those kicking around in the envelope produce the oscillation spectrum used in helioseismology. While this may seem off the topic, it is important to recall that when you look through the Earth's atmosphere -watching a star - it twinkles and dances. The same will happen looking through a giant, only on much longer timescales and not as much. Even planets twinkle and shift in position shift he convective cells are large enough to produce a substantial change in the refractive index. This is the same in a giant, only on a longer timescale. The buoyant velocity, from Archimedes' principle if we need a name, depends on the surface gravity, g, and the lower g the slower the motions and less efficient the convection. The scale height, the distance over which an atmosphere's pressure or temperature changes by about 1/e, is also larger for lower g (less confined) and also for higher temperature T. The problem is that the outer atmosphere, above the temperature minimum of about 4000K, starts to steeply rise at the chromosphere, reaching around ten times the photo-

spheric temperature within about 10⁴km (about 1% of the solar radius). The rise continues into the corona , where the kinetic temperatures are measured by the ions present, such as Fe VII-XIV (forbidden lines in general since the densities are so

low), He II, etc, that require temperatures of 10⁶K or higher for collisional excitation and ionization. What signals that we have a chromosphere is the emission core in lines for which the photosphere shows absorption, e.g. Ca II K and K. Again, an increase in excitation (produces emission when viewed against a lower excitation zone and in the Sun this is collisional, hence from temperature, but it doesn't need to be). But this is because you see a layer that has a higher emissivity against a part of the surface (in a particular wavelength interval) within which the emissivity is lower. Not all lines show the effect, a real increase in kinetic temperature (and not just excitation) also changes the ionization state of the gas so some ions seen in the photosphere in absorption that would be possible emitters disappear. Some of the other spectroscopic effect you know from images of the Sun taken in different narrow bandpass filters. You've certainly seen that there are dark filaments seen projected against the lower chromosphere in some Ha images. In other cases, from Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) (that images the Sun in the FUV and soft XR) at times the He II 303 line appears in absorption. This is again an effect of relative emissivity.

But one comment on a related effect: transiting hot WDs: There are a few compact systems that show similar effects from absorption lines of higher ionization species. Examples are V471 Tau (= BD+16°516, a 30,000K WD plus subgiant K system with a 0.5 day period eclipsing orbit) and FF Aql (a G8 III + subdwarf O binary in an eclipsing 9.2 day orbit). Both systems have cool stars that are active, in FF Agr chromospheric-like loops have been detected during transits, 3 and the UV shows effects of the chromospheres. These and related systems are important examples of possible feedback on the outer atmosphere but also constraints on the heating mechanisms responsible for producing the chromospheres and coronae in the first place. In the UV these show, for example, C IV and He II that come from the upper chromosphere above active regions and are not always there. Much of this work was done only when IUE was still operating, before 1997, but it would be very interesting to try this again with Ca II (although difficult because of the rapidity of the incress and egress times for the eclipse).

Now compare this to what happens in a system in which one star has a harder radiation field (or, less correctly said, has a higher effective temperature) than it companion and is close enough that the input flux *from outside* is competitive with that emerging from the photosphere. In a planetary atmosphere, the upper layers are ionized by input chromospheric and coronal UV and XR emission. There is virtually no emission from the planet itself, let alone at that wavelength. This is independent of any charged particle-induced ionization (e.g. cosmic rays, solar wind). The extra heating produces hot electrons so the

... and now more on line formation and stellar atmospheres: sunrise and sunset: Eclipsing systems as probes of atmospheric structure

Steve Shore

collision rate increases and you see emission from ionized forbidden transitions, e.g. [O III]. You also see [N II] and [O I], all of which are ground state lines that emit in the optical and are easily excited by collisions at about 1 eV. But this is the key. The normal temperature of the atmosphere is about 300K (about 0.03 eV). The upper atmosphere shows lines excited by collisions at around 1 eV and this extra energy is from ionization and free electrons heated in the process. You won't see this in the Z Aur systems, or very little, depending on the temperature of the disk if one is present.

The A or B star in the ζ Aur systems, e.g. τ Per, 31 Cyg or 32 Cyg, have strong UV continua. These are, however, not hot enough to substantially ionize the atmosphere of the supergiant so this is a far less extreme example of the wind effects in the symbiotics. Recall that in those systems, the accretion onto the white dwarf companion from the giant's wind powers an emission extending to the X-rays. For these systems, that have not too different orbital properties than the symbiotics, the companion is a much lower surface gravity object (even if it has a higher mass, the surface gravity is 4 orders of magnitude lower than a white dwarf) so accretion can produce a disk that emits in the infrared but not in the harder spectrum. It produces reflection, but not ionization feedback, and therefore is not going to have as significant an impact on the outer atmosphere as the Sun has on a planet. There is no ionospheric-like layer and almost no radiative induced temperature inversion. In closer X-ray binaries, or in cataclysmic-like hot WD star systems (for instance V471 Tau) the input at the top of the companion's atmosphere from the UV is significant and changes the temperature structure (producing, for instance, temperature inversions). For the Z Aur systems, the eclipse is much closer to that we discussed at the start. The probe is passive. It gives the density (through the column density) and temperature (through the relative optical depth of absorption lines as a function of the energy of their lower level). While this seems less exciting, perhaps, than the violence and unpredictability of cataclysmic systems, keep one important thing in mind: it these wide binaries are the only chance we have to understand the atmosphere of giants, to see the base structure and probe the turbulent motions, to see time dependent hydrodynamic effects. These stars are sources of mass return to the interstellar medium and the chemical evolution of the galaxy. They are evolved, massive stars unlike the symbiotics. They connect to the B[e] and Luminous Blue Variables and are a chance to compare what we see in the eclipsing symbiotic systems such as EG And for the late 4 type giants and the supergiants. In short, while the phenomena may not be spectacular the physics is. We do not know if there i one or several mechanisms responsible for the heating of the solar - or cool star - outer atmosphere. Certainly it is connected with a surface convection zone and must be connected with the mag-



Formation of chromospheric emission in the broad absorption

netic field. The solar wind is too dynamically responsive to changes in the global field during the sunspot cycle of the dynamo to imagine the two are unrelated. Also, certainly, the outer atmosphere is not an effective coolant in that collisions are progressively rarer with increased altitude so you don't get the electrons kinetically cooling. I know this seems contradictory to saying that an optically thin medium radiates effectively and is cooler but that is for a medium that is both collisionally dominated and in which temperature of the radiation is the same as the kinetic temperature of the electrons. In the solar wind, and the corona, this isn't true. Collisions are rare enough that ionization-liberated electrons, if they are also heated and accelerated, produce an outflow (the solar wind). Magnetic fields in the coronal zones are heating agents, e.g. reconnecting turbulence-driven twisted magnetic filaments and arcs releases stored energy of the field and emits energized waves that can accelerate particles without collisions). The low densities and high ionization and temperatures actually become thermally unstable and a hot region forms that can't efficiently cool. This leaves the chromosphere requiring heating from within and below while the corona can remain hot if once put in the right thermal condition.

by Thomas B. Ake (Editor), Elizabeth Griffin (Editor) tion and stellar atmospheres: sunrise and sunset:

Eclipsing systems as probes of atmospheric structure

Steve Shore

All this is probed by looking at the temperature and density structure of the chromosphere and any transient structures during eclipse. Again, to close this discussion, remember that the solar corona was discovered during eclipses, when the photosphere is invisible, and that the look and streamer structures were first seen in projection against the disk in early interference mages with spectroheliographs and later seen in the extended structures of the corona. We started with eclipses ad a technique for probing stellar structure. These pencil beam observations, similar to planetary occultation of stars, are the best probes we have of the outer atmospheres of the stars. A last point. The disk in VV Cep has been the focus of a lot of discussion. The same for emission regions like the presumed bow shock in these accreting cases. The disks here are not the same as the Be stars, or cataclysmics, and are transient and less

stable. They are formed from a far less organized flow than through a Roche surface, and through their instability we learn about the turbulence, viscosity, and mass transfer in ways a less transient phenomenon won't reveal.

Thanks very much for your enthusiasm, participation, questions, and comments at Haute-Provence this summer. I sincerely hope you have a very good year, clear skies and tranquility in your lives, and that we'll see each other again soon..

Steve Shore 29-08-2015

55 /60

1/4

Star	GCVS	Spectral Type		V mag	Orb. Period	Eclipse	
						Duration	ΔV
					[days]	[days]	
Zet Aur		K4Ib	B5V	3.8	972	37	0.15
31 Cyg	V695 Cyg	K4Ib	B3-4	3.8	3784	61	0.1
32 Cyg	V1488 Cyg	K5Ib	B6V	4.0	1148		0.05
VV Cep		M2Iab	B0-2	4.9	7430	233	0.05:
22 Vul	QS Vul	G7lb-II	B8.5V	5.2	249	8	0.05
HR 6902	V2291 Oph	G9IIb	B8.5IV	5.7	385.00	3.8	0.19
HR 2554	V415 Car	G7II	A1V	4.4	195.3		0.06
Tau Per		G8III	A4V	4.0	1516		0.16
Gam Per		G8II-III	A1 IV	2.9	5328	7.3	0.28
HD 223971	V413 And	G7III	F2IIIm	6.6	50.1	<1	0.41

List of Zeta Aur stars (from "Giants of Eclipse")



Giants of Eclipse: The ζ Aurigae Stars and Other Binary Systems by Thomas B. Ake & lizabeth Griffin pringer, 2015

ARAS Data Base (asdb) for VV Cep stars : <u>http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras_DataBase/VVCepStars/VVCep.htm</u>

VV Cep in near UV by Paolo Berardi

See : http://www.spectro-aras.com/forum/viewtopic.php?f=19&t=1233



ARAS Eruptive Stars Information Letter #18 | 2015-09-06 | 56/60

OHP spectroscopy workshop 2015



OHP 2015 - Credit photo : Jim Edlin

From august 13th to august 18th took place he 11th annual spectroscopy workshop at Observatory of Haute Provence. More than 50 people – from France, Germany, Austria, USA, UK & Australia – gathered for this unique and largest spectroscopy workshop in the World.

With around 20 telescopes all equiped with several spectrographs in the field, it is certainly the biggest spectroscopy star party of the World. The main goal of this workshop is to practice astronomical spectroscopy and observe some given targets – this year focus was on AG Peg symbiotic star, VV Cep eclipsing binary with a 20.4 years period and next eclipse in 2017-2019, and Cat's Eye planetary nebula. On the last day, several spectra obtained during the workshop were compared and the level of quality reached was outstanding.

For the second year, François Cochard gave a daily morning training on astronomical spectroscopy for beginners. First session talked about optics & spectrogrphs. Second session was about spectra acquisition. Third session reviwed data reduction with a live demo with ISIS. Last session gave some best practices to improve quality of the spectra.

After the lunch (buffet), a short special event was organized every day including a visit at the 1.93m telescope on the site which discovered the first exoplanet 51 Peg-b, a talk by David Boyd about his observation of EE Cep (published in JBAA : <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.5127v1</u>), another one by Ernst Pollmann followed by a great discussion on VV Cep and how to start observing before the coming eclipse (Cf SAS symposium paper : <u>http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015SASS...34...83H</u>), and a review of the LISA spectrograph radial velocities possibilites for spectrosopic binaries by David Boyd.

We also had an astrophysics course by Steve Shore, a professional astronomer from Pisa university, on accretion disks and binaries, with focus on novae, symbiotic stars such as AG Peg, VV Cep type of stars...

Couple of talks were given in the evening before the observations by François Teyssier on the novae and spectroscopy of the planetary nebulae.

All training, talks and courses were recorded (most of them were translated* either from french to english or english to french) and are available on-line at : <u>http://www.shelyak.com/contenu.php?id_contenu=</u> <u>117&id_dossier=7&lang=2</u>

Olivier Thizy 27-08-2015

* many thanks to Olivier Thizy for the translations (ndlr)

Novae

Getting to know Classical Novae with Swift

Julian P. Osborne http://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1507/1507.02153.pdf

Infrared studies of Nova Scorpii 2014: an outburst in a symbiotic system sans an accompanying blast wave Vishal Joshi, D. P. K. Banerjee, N M Ashok, V Venkataraman, F.M. Walter http://arxiv.org/pdf/1507.02487.pdf

Spectroscopic Study of the Envelope of the Hybrid Nova V458 Vul and Surrounding Nebula

T. N. Tarasova http://arxiv.org/pdf/1508.03990v1.pdf

Symbiotics

WZ Sge-Type Dwarf Novae Taichi Kato http://arxiv.org/pdf/1507.07659v1.pdf

Periods in a 87 Years Light Curve of the Symbiotic Star MWC 560 Elia M. Leibowitz, Liliana Formiggini http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.05584

Symbiotic stars in X-rays III: long term variability N. E. Nuñez, T. Nelson, K. Mukai, J. L. Sokoloski, G. J. M. Luna http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.00633

Accretion Flow and Disparate Profiles of Raman Scattered O VI λλ1032, 1038 in the Symbiotic Star V1016 Cygni Heo, Jeong-Eun; Lee, Hee-Won Journal of the Korean Astronomical Society, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 105-112 http://jkas.kas.org/journals/2015v48n2/v48n2p105 hwlee.pdf

The first symbiotic stars from the LAMOST survey

Jiao Li, Joanna Mikołajewska, Xue-Fei Chen, A-Li Luo, Alberto Rebassa-Mansergas, Yonghui Hou, Yuefei Wang, Yue Wu, Ming Yang, Yong Zhang, Zhan-Wen Han http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.06569

An ongoing active phase for the old symbiotic nova AG Peg

ATel #5258; U. Munari (INAF Padova), P. Valisa, S. Dallaporta, G. Cherini, G. L. Righetti, F. Castellani (ANS Collaboration) on 8 Aug 2013; 15:08 UT

he symbiotic nova AG Peg was shining at ~9 mag when in 1850 started the outburst that in 1871 reached a peak brightness of ~6 mag. The very slow rise to maximum was followed by an even slower decline that took longer than a century to complete. The star was at m(vis)=7.6 in 1943, at m(vis)=8.1 in 1963, m(vis)=8.5 in 1983, and declined to m(vis)=8.75 by 2003. We have closely monitored AG Peg over the last decade, observing how photometric and spectroscopic behavior was dominated by the ~827 day orbital periodicity. In particular, the brightness in the B band has followed the sinusoidal pattern expected from reflection effect (of the hard radiation from the WD illuminating the facing side of the non-variable M giant companion), from B~9.80 at maximum to B~10.25 at minimum. During the last weeks AG Peg has unexpectedly risen in brightness, about 0.3 mag above the brightness typical for that orbital phase. From B=9.79 on 2013 May 18, it continued to steadily rise to B=9.70 on June 18, and B=9.60 on July 18, peaking to B=9.570 on Aug 1, where it has remained ever since. Our last measurement reads U=8.95, B=9.577, V=8.430, Rc=7.314 and Ic=6.390 on 2013 Aug 07.986 UT. The unexpected rise in brightness is obvious at all bands. We obtained a fluxed low resolution spectrum on Aug 1 with the Asiago 1.22m telescope and B&C spectrograph (range 3230-7985 Ang, 2.31 Ang/pix) and high resolution Echelle spectrum with the Varese 0.61 cm (resolving power 17,000, range 3950-8630 Ang). Compared with equivalent spectra obtained at the same orbital phase during the previous orbital cycle, the most obvious change is the greater veiling at bluer wavelengths by a now much brighter nebular continuum, effectively overwhelming the M giant absorption spectrum shortward of 5500 Ang. The profiles of emission lines remain very sharp, with FWHM values of 42 km/s for HeI singlet and FeII lines, and 62 km/s for HeI triplet and HeII lines, and ~105 km/s for Balmer lines which shows a complex structure, values which are all about 15% smaller than typical for the current orbital phase. No P-Cyg absorption component is present at a preliminary inspection.



About ARAS initiative

Astronomical Ring for Access to Spectroscopy (ARAS) is an informal group of volunteers who aim to promote cooperation between professional and amateur astronomers in the field of spectroscopy.

To this end, ARAS has prepared the following roadmap:

• Identify centers of interest for spectroscopic observation which could lead to useful, effective and motivating cooperation between professional and amateur astronomers.

• Help develop the tools required to transform this cooperation into action (i.e. by publishing spectrograph building plans, organizing group purchasing to reduce costs, developing and validating observation protocols, managing a data base, identifying available resources in professional observatories (hardware, observation time), etc.

•Develop an awareness and education policy for amateur astronomers through training sessions, the organization of pro/am seminars, by publishing documents (web pages), managing a forum, etc.

• Encourage observers to use the spectrographs available in mission observatories and promote collaboration between experts, particularly variable star experts.

Create a global observation network.

By decoding what light says to us, spectroscopy is the most productive field in astronomy. It is now entering the amateur world, enabling amateurs to open the doors of astrophysics. Why not join us and be one of the pioneers!

Be Newsletter

Previous issues : http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/surveys/beactu/index.htm

Please : Submit your spectra - respect the procedure - check your spectra BEFORE sending them Resolution should be at least R = 500 For new transcients, supernovae and poorly observed objects, SA spectra at R = 100 are welcomed 1/ reduce your data into BeSS file format 2/ name your file with: _novadel2013_yyyymmdd_hhh_Observer novadel2013: name of the nova, fixed for this object Exemple: _chcyg_20130802_886_toto.fit 3/ send you spectra to Novae, Symbiotics : François Teyssier Supernovae : Christian Buil to be included in the ARAS database

Further information : Email francoismathieu.teyssier at bbox.fr Download previous issues : http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/novae/InformationLetter/InformationLetter.html